



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/634,693 | 08/05/2003 | Joseph F. Skovira | POU903100US1 | 7585 |

46369 7590 06/14/2007
HESLIN ROTHENBERG FARLEY & MESITI P.C.
5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE
ALBANY, NY 12203

EXAMINER

ZHE, MENG YAO

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

2109

| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|
|-----------|---------------|

06/14/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/634,693 | | SKOVIRA, JOSEPH F. | |
| | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | MengYao Zhe | | 2109 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) MengYao Zhe. (3) Blanche Schiller.
 (2) Joseph Del Sol. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.


Identification of prior art discussed: Guo et al, Pub No 2005/0071843; Xu, Patent No. 6,418,462.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The definition of grid computing environment was discussed, issues with the IDS and 101 rejections were clarified.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 JOSEPH DEL SOLE
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 6/11/07

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required